IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.BALACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 13TH MARCH 2007 / 22ND PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 5229 of 2007(V)

OS.1277/2006 of PRL.M.C.,IRINJALAKUDA

.....

PETITIONER:

ABDUL SALAM, S/O.KUNJUMUHAMMED,
MADATHIPARAMBIL, NATTIKA VILLAGE,
CHAVAKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI.RASHEED C.NOORANAD
SRI.G.GOPAKUMAR (CHERTHALA)

RESPONDENT:

VASU, S/O.CHATHAN,
NADAPPULLY, KATTUR VILLAGE,
MUKUNDAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN WPC 5229/07

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT. P1	**TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DT. 26.7.06**
EXT. P2	**TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF INJUNCTION GRANTED BY THE LEARNED MUNSIFF DT. 27.7.06**
EXT. P3	**TRUE COPY OF THE COUNTER STATEMENT FILED BY THE PETITIONER DT. 16.11.06**
EXT. P4	**TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DT. 1.12.06**
EXT. P5	**TRUE COPY OF THE LICENCE ISSUED BY KATTUR GRAMA PANCHAYAT**

/TRUE COPY/

K.P. Balachandran, J.

W.P.(C)No. 5229 of 2007 V

JUDGMENT

Perused the remarks offered by the Munsiff, Irinjalakuda. It appears that Exhibits P1 and P4 applications happened to be got adjourned only because neither the petitioner nor the respondent wanted the matter to be heard and either of them was asking for time and was getting them adjourned on all posting dates. After the case is posted to 5.6.2007 from 9.2.2007, none of the parties wanted the court below to advance hearing on those applications and the petitioner has straightaway come up before this Court.

2. In the circumstances, I direct the court below to dispose of Exhibits P1 and P4 applications within two weeks from the date on which a copy of this judgment is received by him. The petitioner shall be at liberty to produce a copy of this judgment before the Munsiff to enable him to comply

with the above direction in time.

This writ petition is disposed of as above.

13th March, 2007 (K.P.Balachandran, Judge)

tkv